

GREGGIANFORTE, GOVERNOR

1539 ELEVENTH AVENUE

STATE OF MONTANA

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE: (406) 444-2074 FAX: (406) 444-2684 PO BOX 201601 HELENA, MONTANA 59620-1601

DECISION MEMO CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

Failed Drain Field Replacement
October 2021
Michael O'Rourke
Township 16N, Range 2W, Section 16
Cascade County

PURPOSE AND NEED

The proponent proposes to use DNRC Renewable Resource Grant and Loan (RRGL) private grant funding for the replacement of their private septic drain field. The previous drain field failed due to tree root growth and needs to be elevated as it is currently in the floodplain. The project is located on private land in Cascade, Cascade County, Montana. The applicant completed the replacement in October 2021.

Explanation of the decision(s) that must be made regarding the proposed action (i.e. approve grant or loan and provide funding):

DNRC will approve the grant to provide funding for the drain field replacement project.

DNRC is not required to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for actions that qualify for a CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (ARM 36.17.614) or justified by a PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW; or are ACTIONS OF A SPECIAL NATURE (ARM 36.2.523(5)); or are EMERGENCIES (ARM 36.2.539). These actions are subject to review for EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES that would require an EA or an EIS.

ACTIONS OF SPECIAL NATURE (ARM 36.2.523)

understrative actions: routine, clerical or similar functions of a department, including but not limited to administrative procurement, contracts for consulting services, and personnel actions.	
\square Minor repairs, operations, or maintenance of existing equipment or facilities.	
\Box Investigation and enforcement: data collection, inspection of facilities or enforcement of environmental standards.	
☐Ministerial actions: actions in which the agency exercises no discretion, but rather acts up given state of facts in a prescribed manner.	on a

 \Box Actions that are primarily social or economic in nature and that do not otherwise affect the human environment.

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION/PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW


⊠Categorical Exclusion (CE) refers to a type of action which does not individually, collectively, or cumulatively require an EA or EIS, as determined by rulemaking or programmatic review adopted by the agency, unless extraordinary circumstances, as defined by rulemaking or programmatic review, occur. This project qualifies under ARM 36.17.614 CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS.

□Programmatic review means an analysis (EIS or EA) of the impacts on the quality of the human environment of related actions, programs, or policies. DNRC – CARDD does not have any programmatic reviews completed at the time of this template.

The project listed above meets the definition of Actions of a Special Nature, Categorical Exclusion or Programmatic Review including specified conditions and Extraordinary Circumstances. Included below is a supplemental checklist verifying the use of the Categorical Exclusion.

	Name:	Demi Blythe		
Prepared By:	Title:	CARD Division MEPA Coordinator	Date:	1/10/2022
	Email:	Demitra.Blythe@mt.gov		

Approved By:	Name:	Mark Bostrom
	Title:	CARD Division Administrator
Signature Mark W	Bostrom	Date : 1/11/2022 10:49:37 AM MST
BF7A1C50B2AF4	1DE	



DNRC CARDD DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION CHECKLIST

Project Name: Failed Drain Field Replacement

Brief Description: Replacement of existing drain field as it failed due to tree root growth

and needs to be elevated out of the floodplain

Agreement Number: PVG-22-0303

Date: 1/11/2022

Preparer: Demi Blythe – CARDD MEPA Coordinator

The Department of Natural Resources and Conservation action under 36.17.614, is excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) if the application for department review is for any of the following projects:

- (a) Projects relating to existing infrastructure systems such as sewer and septic systems, drinking water supply systems, and stormwater systems, including combined sewer overflow systems, dams, culverts, headgates, canal lining, siphons, pipelines, pump sites, lift stations, irrigation infrastructure, that involve: [Answer <u>yes</u> or <u>no</u>. If all answers "<u>no</u>", an EA or EIS must be completed. If any answer is <u>yes</u>, skip to (b).]
 - 1. No Minor upgrading; or
 - 2. No Minor expansion of system capacity; or
 - 3. Yes Rehabilitation (including functional replacement) of the existing system and system components; or
 - 4. No Construction of new minor ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the same property as existing facilities; or
 - 5. No Projects in unsewered communities involving the replacement of existing onsite systems, provided that the new on-site systems do not result in substantial increases in the volume of discharges or in loadings of pollutants from existing sources, and do not relocate existing discharges; or
 - 6. No Use of sampling and monitoring wells to test for the presence of contaminants such as, but not limited to, metals and petroleum; or
 - 7. No Activities that do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as planning studies, scientific research and analysis, surveys, or engineering.
- (b) A categorical exclusion may <u>NOT</u> be granted for a department action if: [Answer <u>yes</u> or <u>no</u>. If all answers "<u>no</u>", skip to (c). If any answer is <u>yes</u>, an EA or EIS must be completed.]

- 1. No The action would authorize facilities that will provide a new discharge or relocate an existing discharge to ground or surface waters;
- No The action would result in an increase above permit levels established for the facility under the Montana pollutant discharge elimination system or Montana ground water pollution control system for either volume of discharge or loading rate of pollutants to receiving waters;
- 3. No The action would authorize facilities that will provide capacity to serve a population at least 30% greater than the existing population;
- 4. No The action is not supported by the state, or other regional growth plan or strategy;
- 5. No The action directly or indirectly involves or relates to upgrading or extending infrastructure systems primarily for the purposes of future development;
- 6. No The department has received information indicating that public controversy exists over the project's potential effects on the quality of the human environment;
- 7. No The department determines that the proposed project that is the subject of the state action shows some potential for causing a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, based on ARM 36.2.524, or might possibly affect:
 - (i) sensitive environmental or cultural resource areas; or
 - (ii) endangered or threatened species and their critical habitats.

(c) If the proposed project meets the conditions above in determining use of a CATEX, the

reviewer will then complete items below as follows:

[Once all steps are complete, reviewer shall sign and date at bottom. If revocation becomes necessary, reviewer shall initiate an EA or EIS as appropriate.]

- 1. Yes Project meets the above Categorical Exclusion criteria.
- 2. Yes DNRC determination of Categorical Exclusion.
- 3. Yes DNRC distributes the Notice of Determination.
- 4. No Notice of Publication and cover letter (containing revocation language below) is delivered to recipient.
- 5. NA Notice of Publication published in local newspaper by recipient and evidence of publication provided to reviewer.

COMPLETION DATE

(d) The department may revoke a categorical exclusion if:

[Only complete the steps below if revocation of a previously implemented CATEX becomes necessary.]

- 1. Choose an item. The project is not initiated within the time period specified in the facility plan, or a new or modified application is submitted;
- Choose an item. The proposed action no longer meets the requirements for a categorical exclusion because of changes in the proposed action;
- 3. Choose an item. New evidence demonstrates that serious local or environmental issues exist; or
- 4. Choose an item. State, local, tribal, or federal laws may be violated.

Demi Blythe – MEPA Coordinator		
DNRC CARD Division STATE PREPARER	-	
DocuSigned by:	1/11/2022 10 40	27
Mark Bostrom – CARDD Administrator Mark & Bostrom	1/11/2022 10:49:	37 AM MSI
DNRC CARD Division STATE REVIEWER 1C50B2AF4DE		
1/11/2022		